C.A. # 04-956-GMS

Chaffinch, et al. October 17, 2005

Page 158

1 bullet trap that you didn't feel competent to do or

- 2 capable of doing or safe doing?
- A. I think there was discussion about
- discontinuing shooting, but I don't know what happened
- 5 with that information.
- 6 Q. When you say there was discussion about
- 7 discontinuing shooting, among whom?
- 8 A. Amongst the firearms instructors and the people
- 9 that were assigned to the range and I can't give you
- .0 everyone involved in the conversation, but Sergeant
- 11 Foraker was part of the conversation, yes.
- 12 Q. Do you believe that Sergeant Foraker would have
- 13 the authority to shut down the range if he didn't
- 14 believe it was safe?
- 15 MR. NEUBERGER: Well, that was asked and
- 16 answered.
- 17 But go ahead, you can answer.
- 18 A. Do I believe he has the authority to shut down
- 19 the range?
- 20 Q. Yes.
- 21 A. I would think he would have to go through the
- 22 captain of the academy. I think he would have to
- 23 consult with the captain of the academy who would
- 24 probably consult with the lieutenant colonel before

Page 160

- I function in that environment?
- 2 A. No.

3

7

- Q. Let me back up a second.
- 4 Did you ever have any discussion with
- 5 Captain Greg Warren concerning the health and safety
- 6 issues at the range?
 - A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And how many times did you talk to him about
- 9 health and safety conditions at the range?
- 10 A. I couldn't -- I can't guess at that.
- 11 Q. Do you remember when the first time was you
- 12 talked to him about it?
- 13 A. No, I can't.
- 14 Q. Was it after Chris Foraker had returned to the
- 15 range or was it before?
- 16 A. I can't recall.
- 17 Q. Do you remember when the last discussion you
- 18 had with him was?
- 19 A. That was probably just before he left. I mean,
- 20 the range was a concern and still is a concern.
- 21 Q. Do you remember the substance of any of the
- 22 discussions you had with Captain Warren?
- 23 A. The only thing I can actually remember was
- 24 Captain Warren asking about the composition of the

Page 159

1

- 1 that decision was made since he's ultimately in
- 2 charge
- 3 Q. Could you go back to Exhibit Papili 2, please?
- 4 A. 2 or 1?
- 5 Q. Papili 2, which is this (indicating) one,
- 6 please.

7

- Could you turn to page 11 which is where
- 8 we looked before? I want you to read the fourth
- 9 paragraph down. It's two sentences. Just read it to
- 10 yourself for a minute.
- 11 A. The fourth paragraph down?
- 12 Q. Yes, the fourth paragraph on page 11. It
- 13 begins "When we interviewed the Sergeant."
- 14 A. (Reviewing document) I'm finished.
- 15 Q. Referring to the first sentence, do you agree
- 16 that that's an accurate description of what was going
- 17 on at the range in January 2004?
- 18 A. Yes, I do.
- 19 Q. Did you believe that it was a safety issue?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Did Sergeant Foraker ever tell you it was not a
- 22 safety issue?
- 23 A. No.
- 24 Q. Did he ever tell you it was perfectly fine to

Page 161 bullets and making a statement that "I was told that

- 2 you were shooting ceramic ammunition and then I
- 3 received an MSDS and it stated that you were shooting
- 4 sintered copper."
- 5 That's about the only conversation that I
- 6 can actually say I remember him saying.
- 7 Q. Was there anything more to it than that?
- 8 A. I'm sure there was, but that's all I can
- 9 actually recall.
- 10 Q. Okay. Did you ever have any discussion with
- 11 Major Eckrich about the health and safety concerns at .
- 12 the range?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. How many times did you talk to him?
- $15\,$ $\,$ A. Again, I couldn't even begin to guess at the
- 16 amount of times.
- 17 Q. Was it more than once?

A - 163

- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Do you remember when the first one was?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. Did you ever have a discussion with Major
- 22 Eckrich, aside from the group meetings that you have
- 23 described at the academy or at the museum near
- 24 headquarters, about the conditions at the range?

41 (Pages 158 to 161)

Document 75-7

Filed 02/02/2006

Page 2 of 33

Price, et al. Wayne H. Warren, Volume 1

C.A. # 04-956-GMS

2

Chaffinch, et al. October 17, 2005

Page 162

A. I'm sure I've talked to him about that

- situation.
- 3 Q. Have you talked to him one on one?
- A. There was a meeting at the range itself and I
- can remember Bob Furman present and Major Eckrich was
- present. And we discussed the maintenance issues at
- the range and I think he agreed or made a statement
- 8 that the range personnel shouldn't be in charge or
- required to do the maintenance of the range. 9
- 10 Other than that, there were conversations.
- 11 but I can't recall.
- 12 Q. Do you remember the substance of any other
- discussion with Major Eckrich about health or safety 13
- at the range? 14
- A. I remember discussing an issue about taking the 15
- lead home to my family and contaminating my house.
- 17 Q. Was that a conversation that you had with him
- 18 or was it an exchange of e-mails?
- 19 A. Well, it was an exchange of e-mails, but I
- 20 think there was a conversation over that also.
- 21 Q. Was that face to face or was it on the phone?
- 22 A. I can't recall.
- 23 Q. Do you remember the substance of the
- 24 conversation?

Page 164

- called it, into the range.
 - What are you referring to?
- A. When a recruit came forward and explained to me 3 and Corporal Price that we had serious health concerns
- 5 at the range, he inquired about what the composition
- 6 of the bullets were. I couldn't give him an answer.
- 7 I couldn't, I couldn't tell him with 100 percent
- 8 certainty what the composition of the bullets were.
- 9 He had previous background with hazardous materials
- 10 and he said, "Well, I think that we need to, we need
- 11 to research this because some of the recruits are
- complaining." 12

13 We sat down and we listened to him as to 14 what he had to say and we passed that information up

- 15 the chain of command. Just talking to people in
- 16 facilities management, really it came after the first
- 17 meeting when we were trying to explain a very valid
- concern and problem with the ventilation system. And 18
- 19 I can remember it, that the state's engineer, Mark
- 20 DeVore, was trying to intimidate and he wasn't
- 21 concerned about what was going on.
- And then the industrial hygienist said, 22
- 23 "Well, we never had a problem with this before."
- 24 Well, I had heard of previous problems at the range,

Page 163

- A. Just that I was concerned about contaminating 1
- my home once we got the swipe samples back and we
- 3 started seeing how contaminated the entire building
- was, including the places where we were changing our 4
- 5 clothes.
- 6 Q. So you actually discussed this with Major
- 7 Eckrich?
- R A. Yes.
- 9 Q. What was the substance of it?
- 10 A. I just voiced my concern.
- Q. What did he say? 11
- 12 A. I'm not sure if he gave me a response or not or
- he was going to look into it. I can't recall. 13
- 14 Did you have any discussions with Major Papili
- 15 about health or safety at the range?
- A. I have had several discussions with Major 16
- 17 Papili, but I can't specifically remember any one time
- where I discussed the issues of the range. I'm sure I 18
- 19 did, but I can't remember.
- 20 How about Major Baylor?
- 21 Again, I know I talked to him, but I can't
- 22 recall any specific conversation.
- 23 Q. You have several times in the course of our
- discussion today mentioned an investigation, you

Page 165

- 1 so that kind of sparked my interest as to look a little deeper as to what was going on with this
- 3 building and facility. And I'm thinking well, all
- 4 these problems existed. Why wasn't someone up on it
- 5 and why hasn't these problems -- why haven't the
- 6 problems been corrected and what are the problems in
 - this building?

7

8 So being an investigator, I started

- 9 looking around a little bit. The first thing I did
- 10 was I went back and I checked the panel in the
- 11 building, the electrical panel. It had a final
- 12 inspection sticker on it. There were no other
- 13 previous inspections performed and I just thought that
- 14 was kind of suspicious that there wasn't a subpanel or
- a rough-in inspection performed on that electrical
- panel. The electricians are usually pretty good with 16
- 17 their inspections and following the building codes.
- 18 So I thought well, do you know what? We 19
- really need to talk to someone about this building.
- And we started looking into the building. We called
- 21 the county to obtain any records that they may have

had. Since the building was constructed in New Castle

- County, we thought surely we would have a building
- permit issued there. We would have the inspections

C.A. # 04-956-GMS

Chaffinch, et al. October 17, 2005

Page 166

that were performed and we would have a certificate of 1 occupancy and when the certificate of occupancy was issued.

3 4 We were thrown off a little bit with that and then we were finally told that there was never a 5 6 certificate of occupancy issued for that building. Actually, there was never a building permit issued to construct the building. So that really provoked my 8 9 interest a little bit more and I thought do you know what? Something is really wrong here. The State of 10 Delaware, we are a Department of Public Safety. Why 11 12 aren't we following the rules? So from that point on we started looking

13 14 at every aspect of the building.

- 15 Q. Well, what other aspects of the building did
- 16 you look at? Who is the "we," by the way?
- 17 A. Members of the firearms training unit.
- 18 Q. So what other aspects of the building were you
- 19 looking at?
- 20 A. Well, we checked out to see if the fire marshal
- had performed an inspection, and he didn't. And I 21
- just found that kind of odd, since we're housing
- ammunition and explosives, that the fire marshal
- wouldn't do an inspection on that building.

Page 168

- A. Well, we started -- we got that information,
- found out that the air had been monitored and tested
- in November of '98 by a company by the name of Batta, 3
- that the air quality was over the OSHA limit for lead,
- requested swipe samples to be done in the building by
- 6 **Environmental Solutions.**

7 They performed the swipe sampling and

- 8 levels came back all over the building that were
- 9 higher than what they should have been to OSHA
- standards, reported the information. They brought in 10
- Harvard Environmental and they redid the same type of 11
- swipe testing procedures. And, again, it got the same 12
- 13 results: The building had contaminants throughout
- that exceeded the OSHA limits. 14
 - Q. What year was this done?
- 16 A. That was in 2004. And then we were just
- researching the building. How did this all happen? 17
- 18 And we found out that the bidding process or the
- selection of the architectural engineering firm was 19
- skewed and the wrong company actually received the 20
- bid. They designed the building and it wasn't going 21
- 22 to work.

15

23 They had an expert who looked at the 24 ventilation system plans and said that it would fail

Page 167

9

- 1 So we asked for the information from the
 - Fire Marshal's Office and we got stonewalled there a
- little bit until their attorneys reviewed our request 3
- or my request. I gave him a written request for any
- 5 information that they may have on the building and the
- only thing they had was a letter requesting a 6 sprinkler exemption from the range, which they 7
- 8 granted.
- 9 Q. What else did you look at?
- 10 A. The letter that didn't contain the ammo storage
- room in that request and why the room designations
- 12 were not on that letter.
- 13 Q. Okay. What else?
- A. If there had been any previous problems with 14
- the building. Why wasn't the air being monitored? 15
- Q. You knew that there had been previous problems 16
- 17 with the building, didn't you?
- 18 A. Yes. But I didn't see anything in writing
- 19 where any scientific test had been conducted and no
- 20 one was monitoring the air in the building.
- Q. Anything else that you did as part of this 21
- 22 investigation?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. What? Just what else? 24

Page 169 and explained to them in writing that it was going to

- fail prior to it being constructed. And we found a
- 3 letter from Randall Hair, an OSHA consultant, and
- 4 Traci Trunzdale, an OSHA consultant also, that
- 5 explained what they should do about the ventilation
- system and also that they should be concerned about 6
- 7 the decibel level of noise in that type of building
- 8 and recommendations made to control those things.
 - Q. Anything else that you found?
- 10 A. Well, I think it's all contained or a lot of it
- 11 is contained in the auditor's report there.
- 12 Q. I do recognize that. I'm just seeing what you 13 remember.
- 14 Do you remember anything more than what
- 15 you just described?
- 16 A. I'm sure I'm going to forget a lot of things
- 17 because it's a rather large investigation containing a
- 18 few thousand documents. We looked at the ventilation
- 19 system, that there were modifications made to the
- ventilation system, that there were problems since day 20
- 21 one with the ventilation system. It was never checked
- or passed or inspected prior to turning it over to the
- 23 State Police for our use. The air wasn't monitored

24 constantly.

43 (Pages 166 to 169)

C.A. # 04-956-GMS

Chaffinch, et al. October 17, 2005

Page 170

Once we had a problem, the solution to the problem was switching to frangible ammunition. I don't think there was any investigation as to what cause the frangible ammunition would have to the bullet trap that was initially installed.

Also, the ventilation system itself, the
HVAC system was modified several times and there was
no scientific testing conducted to prove that it was
operating correctly. We took someone's word for it.
And we were in a poisonous building all the time in my
opinion.

12 Q. Who was responsible for the decision to go to 13 frangible ammunition?

A. I'm not sure. And I know there was no baseline
testing performed when we switched to frangible
ammunition. No one came in to test the air to see how
much contaminants were in the air at that point in

time when we switched over. So we switched over to
 frangible ammunition and we never received a baseline

air quality test to see how much dust was being
 generated by shooting that ammunition. That was never
 performed.

23 And if we're shooting mostly frangible 24 ammunition and sintered copper ammunition, why were we Page 172

Page 173

We're being stymied. We're not allowed to come to the

2 meetings to discuss problems on the range. So one

3 thing led to another. There's so much in the

4 investigation and that's what I am calling it, an

5 investigation, because that's what it is. We looked

6 into documents and information. I can't figure out

7 why they would treat someone that way.

8 Q. At any point have you been tested for your

9 serum level of copper?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Did it ever test outside of the normal limits?

12 A. It was considered high normal.

13 Q. It was below the threshold of being high,

14 wasn't it?

15 A. That's correct.

16 O. How about for zinc, were you ever tested for

17 zinc?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. Have you ever tested outside of the normal

20 limits?

21 A. Yes. The first time I was tested. And I think

22 actually it was the only time I have been tested.

23 Q. Have you ever had a doctor tell you that the

24 zinc had caused you any health problems?

Page 171

only getting our blood serum checked for lead when we were actually breathing probably more copper? And was

copper controlled? It was controlled we found out.

Why weren't we getting a blood serum test for copperand zinc also that was contained in the ammunition?

And one thing led to another and one thing led to another. What was the decibel levels of the range or the work environment? No one could tell us. Therefore, we couldn't figure out a time weighted

How long could we actually work in that
environment? No one told us that. No one knew what
the decibel level protection were for the earmuffs and
the earplugs. We were operating in a dangerous
building without any equipment or any training. No
one told us that we were working in such a dangerous

environment.

And I checked with the State Police to
find out who was responsible, was there a rightto-know officer, and I was told we didn't have a
right-to-know officer. And one thing led to another.
I mean, it was just like why are you doing this to us?
And then we're trying to correct the wrong

and then we're being retaliated against further.

1 A. No.

Q. How about the copper?

3 A. No

2

9

12

15

4 Q. In other words, no doctor has ever told you

5 you had a health problem?

6 A. The only thing a doctor told me about copper is

he said it wasn't my liver's friend and that was

8 Dr. Green of Health Works.

MR. NEUBERGER: Ed, we have been going for

10 an hour and a half. Could we take a break?

11 MR. ELLIS: Sure.

MR. NEUBERGER: Thank you.

13 (A brief recess was taken.)

14 MR. ELLIS: Can you mark that one?

(Defendant's Deposition Exhibit No. 2 was

16 marked for identification.)

17 BY MR. ELLIS:

18 Q. Could you take a look at what I have asked the

19 court reporter to mark as D-2 and tell me if that's a

20 document you're familiar with? It's got Delaware

21 State Police Firearms Training Range Current Range

22 Status And Analysis written on the front with the name

23 of Captain Gregory A. Warren, 01/30/04.

24 A. (Reviewing document).

A - 166

6

7

8

9

average of exposure.

Case 1:04-cv-01207-GMS

Page 174

1 Q. Are you familiar with this document?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. Did you write any part of it?

4 A. No. I don't know if I provided any information

5 for him.

6 Q. That was my next question.

Did you provide any information to Captain

8 Warren for this report?

9 A. I'm unsure, unless I would read the whole

10 report.

7

11 Q. Well, take a look at it for a few minutes

12 anyway.

13 A. (Reviewing document).

14 Q. Let me ask you a question preliminarily.

15 Did you become aware in January 2004 that

16 Lieutenant Colonel MacLeish had asked Captain Warren

17 to prepare a report on problems at the range and what

18 could be done about them?

19 A. No.

20 Q. You're not aware of that?

21 A. No.

22 Q. You can take a look at the page of this

23 document that says Chronology, it starts with

24 Chronology of Events. There are no page numbers on

Page 176

Q. Was Mr. Farrell at that meeting?

2 A. I'm not sure if he was at the meeting or not.

3 Q. Do you recall anything that happened at that

4 meeting?

5 A. No. Because there were so many different

6 meetings and things that were happening. If this was

7 the original meeting, we expressed our concerns to

8 Captain Warren and we requested Environmental

9 Solutions to come in and do a swipe sample.

10 Q. Well, it would appear that Environmental

11 Solutions was actually at the building this day, would

12 it not, based on Captain Warren's memo?

13 A. That's correct. But I don't know if they were

14 there to meet with him after our meeting or if the

5 meeting included them with all of us present.

16 Q. If you go over on to the next page, please,

17 beginning in the third paragraph is a series of what

18 appear to be actions that Captain Warren is telling

19 the staff are going to be taken. I would like to go

20 through them with you.

21 "Contact Environmental Solutions to

22 initiate the air quality testing." Is that something

23 that actually happened?

24 A. I believe it did.

Page 175

1 the document, but it's the sixth page I think of the

document. It starts on the top Chronology of Events.

3 Another way of looking at it is the third

4 page up from the back.

5 A. I didn't go back far enough. Sorry.

6 Q. The bottom paragraph on that page begins

7 "Captain Warren responded to the Range on January 20

8 to meet with the Range staff."

9 Do you see that?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Do you recall a meeting you had with Captain

12 Warren at the range concerning the multiple concerns

13 raised at the facility?

14 A. Yes. I remember the meeting. I don't remember

15 a whole lot of what was said other than about

16 Environmental Solutions.

17 Q. Do you recall whether Environmental Solutions

18 had done testing there or not?

19 A. At that point?

20 Q. Yes.

21 A. I'm not sure.

22 Q. Was that the company that Mr. Farrell worked

23 for?

24 A. Yes.

Page 177

1 Q. Number 2, "Contact Carey's Heating and Air

2 Conditioning."

Did that actually happen?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Number 3 is "Pilot and R&D the 'clean fire'

6 round."

3

7

8

12

Did that occur?

A. I can't recall that one.

9 Q. What do you understand a "clean fire" round to

10 be?

11 A. It was lead-free.

Q. Is that the same type of ammunition that the

13 FBI uses?

14 A. I believe it is.

15 Q. So that's the same thing that looks like the

16 chain?

17 A. A spring or a chain.

18 Q. You think that is the same thing?

19 A. I believe so.

20 Q. "Identify alternate sites" is number 4.

21 Is that something that happened?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. Number 5 is "All staff and students will

24 immediately start wearing paper air mask."

45 (Pages 174 to 177)

v. C.A. # 04-956-GMS Chaffinch, et al. October 17, 2005

Page 178

- 1 Did that occur?
- 2 A. No.
- 3 Q. Do you recall a discussion of that?
- 4 A. Yes.
- Q. What did Captain Warren say about the paper air
- 6 masks?
- 7 A. He said that authority, Lieutenant Colonel
- 8 MacLeish, that we would all have to start wearing
- 9 paper dust masks.
- 10 Q. Did he tell you how that came up in the
- 11 conversation with Lieutenant Colonel MacLeish?
- 12 A. He basically told us we were going to have to
- 13 start wearing dust masks.
- 14 Q. That's all he said?
- 15 A. That's all I can recall that he said.
- 16 Q. Did you actually start doing it?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. How did you learn that the range was going to
- 19 be shut down?
- 20 A. I think we received the swipe sampling back
- 21 from Environmental Solutions. We had two different
- 22 samplings conducted, one with Environmental Solutions
- 23 and one by Harvard Environmental. And I think it was
- 24 the one that we received from Environmental Solutions

- Page 180
- A. (Reviewing document) I've probably seen this.
- 2 Q. Did you participate in preparing it?
- 3 A. I don't know if anything was used that I had at
- 4 this point.
- 5 Q. Did you write any part of it?
- 6 **A. No**.
- 7 Q. When was the first time you talked to anybody
- 8 in the State Police above you in the chain of command
- 9 about your hearing problem?
- 10 A. I can't recall the exact time that that
- 11 happened.
- 12 Q. Do you recall ever raising your personal
- 13 hearing issue with anyone inside the State Police?
- 14 A. I'm sure that I made the comment after my wife
- 15 told me that I should get a hearing test in the office
- 16 area, but I think -- I can't remember when I went to
- 17 human resources and asked Kristy Tuxward what I had to
- 18 do about the problem.
- 19 Q. You recall going and talking to Kristy Tuxward
- 20 about your hearing problem?
- 21 A. Right.
- 22 Q. You have no recollection of when that was?
- 23 A. (Witness shakes head).
- 24 Q. You have previously described a meeting that

Page 179

- in a letter from Art Nielson, who was an industrial
- hygienist, that recommended that the range be closed
- 3 after he reviewed the swipe sampling. And I'm not
- 4 sure if the air test was done at that point or not.
- Q. Did somebody in the State Police have to tellyou that the range was being shut down?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 O. Who was it that told you?
- 9 A. I can't recall.
- 10 Q. Did you learn it from Sergeant Foraker?
- 11 A. I can't recall.
- 12 O. I have another document to show you.
- 13 MR. ELLIS: I am going to ask the court
- 14 reporter to mark that as No. 3.
- 15 (Defendant's Deposition Exhibit No. 3 was
- 16 marked for identification.)
- 17 BY MR. ELLIS:
- 18 Q. My question is going to be whether you're
- 19 familiar with that document.
- 20 By the way, the document in terms of
- 21 description has on the front cover Delaware State
- 22 Police Firearms Training Facility Responsibility
- 23 Status Analysis, Sergeant Christopher D. Foraker,
- 24 02/09/04.

Page 181

- 1 occurred at the museum, at the State Police Museum?
 - A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. You don't, by any chance, recall that meeting
- 4 occurring on March 17th, 2004, do you?
- 5 A. No.

2

12

15

- 6 Q. Do you recall whether at that meeting Sergeant
- 7 Foraker told the lieutenant colonel, which would have
- 8 been MacLeish at the time, that you and Corporal Price
- 9 were having hearing trouble?
- 10 MR. NEUBERGER: I'm sorry. Did you say
- 11 March 14th of '04 or did you mean '05?
 - MR. ELLIS: I meant March 17, '04.
- 13 MR. NEUBERGER: And you're saying in March
- 14 of '04 Sergeant Foraker --
 - MR. ELLIS: Yes, March 17, '04 is the
- 16 date. If I screwed up the date, I'm sorry.
- 17 MR. NEUBERGER: No. I was confused. I
- 18 got you now. I'm with you.
- 19 BY MR. ELLIS:
- 20 Q. Do you recall at that meeting whether Sergeant
- 21 Foraker raised with the lieutenant colonel the
- 22 question of your hearing?
- 23 A. No, I do not. I can't recall that.
- 24 Q. You have no recollection one way or another?

46 (Pages 178 to 181)

C.A. # 04-956-GMS

Chaffinch, et al. October 17, 2005

Page 184

Page 182

1 A. None.

- O. You're not saying it didn't happen; you just
- 3 don't remember?
- 4 A. I don't remember, that's correct.
- 5 Q. Do you remember anybody else at that meeting
- 6 raising the question of your and Corporal Price's
- 7 hearing?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. You were tested first for hearing by Omega. Is
- 10 that right?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Which is the first doctor that tested you for
- 13 your hearing?
- A. On which occasion? 14
- 15 Q. Well, beginning in the beginning of 2004. In
- 16 other words, this whole issue of the range starts up
- in early 2004 and I know that you had a series of
- 18 tests of your hearing.
- 19 What was the first one that you can
- 20 recall?
- 21 A. I think that was March 1st, I believe, at Omega
- 23 Q. So the first one was at Omega?
- 24 A. That's correct.

1

2 Q. And you were also tested for I guess the blood

- 3 copper level?
- A. Yes. 4
- 5 Also zinc? O.
- 6
- 7 Q. And you had your hearing tested as well?
- 8 Yes. A.
- 9 Q. What else was tested? Anything else that's
- 10 pertinent to the conditions at the range?
- 11 A. Cardiopulmonary function test, chest X-ray.

12 I'm not sure what else. Those are the

- 13 things that I can remember.
- 14 Q. What did the result come back in terms of your
- 15 hearing?
- 16 A. I had high frequency.
- 17 Q. High frequency hearing loss?
- 18 A. Loss, mm-hmm.
- 19 Q. What was the next step in getting your hearing
- 20 tested?

22

1

- 21 A. (Pause).
 - Q. Let me back up a second.
- 23 Who was the next doctor that you saw about
- 24 your hearing?

Page 183

- Q. And how did you come to go to Omega? 1
- A. We were discussing issues with Joe Farrell of
- **Environmental Solutions and we were concerned that**
- Bayhealth maybe was not as adequate in our exposure to
- 5 heavy metals or any other hazardous materials that we
- 6 may be exposed to. And we asked him who his
- technicians had to go see or who oversaw the program 8
- at Environmental Solutions since those people were
- 9 being exposed to pretty much a lot of the same things
- we were since they were removing that hazardous 10
- material from the range.
- 12 He recommended Omega Medical and said that
- 13 they would do a pretty good job; that they do the work
- 14 on employees of Environmental Solutions. So that's
- 15 how we ended up going to Omega Medical.
- Q. Did somebody at the firearms training unit 16 arrange for the State Police to pay for Omega?
- 18 A. I believe so, yes.
- 19 Q. Was that you that set that up?
- A. I don't recall.
- 21 Q. So you were tested by Omega for blood lead
- 22 levels?

17

- A. Mm-hmm. 23
- 24 O. Yes?

Page 185 A. I'm not sure if it was Dr. Cooper at that

- 2 point.
- 3 Q. Was it either Dr. Cooper or Dr. Green?
- A. Yes. Or I could have been sent back to Omega
- for another test. And that's where it's confusing
- because there's Bayhealth or Omega, Dr. Cooper
- 7 somewhere along the line, Dr. Green. Well, he
- 8 actually did the fitness for duty exam. And then I was sent back to Omega to get a second test and also
- 10 to fill out a questionnaire by a company by the name
- 11 of the TK Group.

12 And I can't remember in what order I did

- 13
- 14 Q. Now, the Omega examination concluded that you
- had high frequency hearing loss, right? 15
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 Q. And Dr. Cooper concluded the same thing, right?
- 18 A. That's correct.
- 19 Q. And Dr. Green in his fitness for duty exam
- 20 concluded the same thing, right?

- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 Q. And did you ever get a result when you went
- 23 back to Omega from the examination by the TK Group?
- 24 A. Yes.

v. C.A. # 04-956-GMS

1

2

7

9

10

15

17

18

1

Chaffinch, et al. October 17, 2005

Page 186

1 Q. And what was that conclusion?

A. That I had high frequency hearing loss, but it

3 was domestic related.

Q. Domestic as opposed to work related?

5 A. That's correct.

6 Q. So by "domestic" it would include shooting

7 hunting rifles or hunting shotguns; it doesn't

8 necessarily have to do with something that happens in

9 your house?

10 A. I don't really know what it meant because no

11 one ever talked to me about it. I got it in a letter.

12 Q. Now, you were also sent to see Dr. Emmett at

13 the University of Pennsylvania, right?

14 A. That's correct.

15 Q. Did anybody ever explain to you why you were

16 going to see Dr. Emmett?

17 A. It was a second opinion from Dr. Green. And

18 also Dr. Green after he made the statement that copper

19 is not your liver's friend he recommended that we be

20 checked out by someone else.

21 Q. By the way, have you had your liver checked

22 out?

23 A. No.

24 Q. In none of these tests did you ever have your

Page 188

Do you see where at the top of the last

page Dr. Emmett says, quote, "To be certain about his

3 inability to meet the requirements for a Delaware

4 State Trooper I would recommend that he undergo

5 functional hearing testing from Ms. Sherrie Davis at

6 the Hospital of the University of Pennsylvania"?

Did you, in fact, undergo a functional

8 hearing test?

A. Yes, I did.

Q. Why did you do that?

11 A. I was ordered to do so by the division.

12 Q. Did you understand that if you had a certain

13 performance in the functional hearing testing that you

14 would be allowed to continue work?

A. I'm sorry?

16 Q. Excuse me. Just wait one second, please.

Did you understand that Dr. Emmett in this letter that's marked Exhibit D-4 had concluded that

19 you were not capable of meeting the requirements of

20 performing the job of a Delaware State Trooper?

21 A. Yes.

22 Q. And why did you understand, what was your

23 understanding as to why he wanted you to go through

24 the functional hearing test?

Page 187

1 liver checked out?

2 A. Not to my knowledge.

3 Q. Have you ever had any kind of a test that said

4 that you had a problem with your liver?

5 A. Not that I know of.

6 Q. Let me show you what I will mark as No. 4.

(Defendant's Deposition Exhibit No. 4 was

8 marked for identification.)

9 BY MR. ELLIS:

7

10 Q. Are you familiar with Exhibit D-4? This is a

11 letter from Dr. Edward A. Emmett from the University

12 of Pennsylvania Medical Center to Captain Yeomans at

13 the State Police.

14 A. (Reviewing document) I'm familiar with this.

15 Q. Who did you get a copy of this from?

16 A. Captain Yeomans.

17 Q. Now, did you have a discussion with Captain

18 Yeomans about it when he gave it to you or after he

19 gave it to you?

20 A. I'm certain that I did.

21 Q. Do you remember the substance of the

22 discussion?

23 A. No.

24 Q. Look at the last page of the letter.

Page 189

A. To see if I could hear in a controlled

2 environment.

3 Q. It's a different kind of test than the typical

4 tone test, right?

5 A. Yes, it is.

6 Q. Was it your understanding that if you could

7 perform on the functional hearing test you would be

8 able to continue as a Delaware State Trooper?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. You went and did the functional test, right?

11 A. That's correct.

12 Q. And then let me show you No. 5.

13 (Defendant's Deposition Exhibit No. 5 was

14 marked for identification.)

15 BY MR. ELLIS:

16 Q. Exhibit D-5 is a letter dated February 24, 2005

17 from Dr. Emmett to Captain Yeomans.

18 Have you seen that before?

19 A. Yes.

20 Q. Where did you receive this?

A - 170

21 A. From Captain Yeomans.

22 Q. Did you have a conversation with Captain

23 Yeomans as a result or at the point that you received

24 this?

48 (Pages 186 to 189)

v. C.A. # 04-956-GMS

7

11

18

1

2

Chaffinch, et al. October 17, 2005

Page 190

- A. I recall some of the conversation.
- 2 Q. Did this letter surprise you?
- 3 A. Yes, it did.
- 4 Q. Why?

1

- A. Because I know I have trouble with my hearing,
- 6 but most of my trouble is in a noisy environment so if
- 7 I have background noise, I'm incapacitated. And
- 8 that's why I went to get my hearing checked in the
- 9 first place. I mean, I knew I had a hearing problem.
- 10 And this test is really an outdated test.
- 11 It's for hearing aid candidates. It's really not for
- 12 troopers and there were no standards set by the State
- 13 Police to even measure what this was.
- 14 Q. Prior to your having your hearing tested by
- 15 Omega on March 1, 2004, did you believe you were
- 16 capable of performing the duties of a Delaware State
- 17 Trooper?
- 18 A. In what capacity? I don't understand what
- 19 you're asking.
- 20 Could I function as a Delaware State
- 21 Trooper? Is that what you're asking me?
- 22 Q. Yes.
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. Now, when you asked me the question in what

Page 192

- if that wasn't a part of the job, if I were an
- 2 administrative officer or I dealt with supplies or
- 3 equipment or training, to evaluate things, yes, I
- 4 think I could do that.
- 5 Q. But you don't believe that you could -- let me
- 6 make sure you understand the question.
 - Prior to March 1, 2004 did you believe
- 8 that you could be on a SORT mission where you would be
- 9 breaking down doors or undertaking surveillance of a
- 10 dark building or apprehension of a dangerous suspect?
 - A. I think I would have been limited.
- 12 Q. You continued to do that type of thing up until
- 13 June of 2004 though, didn't you?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 Q. You said that you did your last SORT activity I
- 16 think on June 17th, 2004?
- 17 A. June 17th, 2004, that evening.
 - Q. What was the job?
- 19 A. To recon or surveil a mobile home where we were
- 20 going to execute a search warrant the following
- 21 morning. So all I would do is a physical drawing of
- 22 the area, assess the area, determine the amount of
- 23 exterior perimeter personnel that would be needed,
- 24 what we would have to do with the roadway.

Page 191

- capacity, what do you mean by that? Are you saying
- 2 that you're recognizing that the job of a firing range
- 3 instructor is different from the job of a sergeant in
- 4 the human resources department?
- 5 **A. Yes.**
- 6 Q. Did you believe at the point that you -- I'm
- 7 sorry. Let me back up a second.
- 8 You've testified previously that you were
- 9 active on the SORT team?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. And you still are or you're still at least
- 12 carried on the SORT team?
- 13 A. I'm carried on the SORT team.
- 14 Q. And that during the spring of 2004, during the
- 15 spring of 2004 were you still going on SORT missions?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Before you were tested, did you believe that
- 18 you could be a SORT officer as part of your duties?
- 19 In other words, were you capable given
- 20 what you believed to be some hearing problems of
- 21 performing as a SORT officer?
- 22 A. In certain capacities.
- 23 Q. What do you mean by that?
- 24 A. If I weren't relying on my hearing 100 percent,

Page 193
Basically, I surveyed the area in a

- vehicle with an undercover officer.
- 3 Q. When's the last time you were actively involved
- 4 with a SORT operation that was going to take down a
- 5 suspect?
- 6 A. Probably during that same year.
- 7 Q. Early 2004?
- 8 A. Mm-hmm.
- 9 Q. After March 2nd?
- 10 A. I'm not sure.
- 11 Q. After you had the first test result back from
- 12 Dr. Emmett, this is Exhibit 4, did you believe that
- 13 you were capable of performing the duties of a road
- 14 trooper?
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. Did you believe you were capable of performing
- 17 the activities of a firearms training unit instructor?
- 18 A. According to Dr. Emmett, no.
- 19 Q. Well, how about according to you?
 - A. I didn't want to incur any more hearing loss,
- 21 no.

20

- 22 Q. After you received Exhibit D-5, you then went
- 23 back up to see Dr. Emmett again, right?
- 24 A. That's correct.

v. C.A. # 04-956-GMS Chaffinch, et al. October 17, 2005

Page 194

- 1 Q. And you had a conversation with him?
- 2 A. Yes, I did.
- 3 Q. How long did it last?
- 4 A. I have no idea.
- 5 Q. Was it in his office in Philadelphia?
- 6 A. Yes, it was.
- 7 Q. Do you remember what you said to him?
- 8 A. Yes, I do.
- 9 Q. What did you say to him?
- 10 A. I wanted him to explain the functional hearing
- 11 test to me and also explain the audiogram. He
- 12 couldn't explain the functional hearing test. I said
- 13 I have researched this in-depth and I learned that a
- 14 functional hearing test is a lot different than a
- 15 hearing and noise test, a HINT test, which is given to
- 16 police officers and firefighters in the State of
- 17 California as a mandatory hearing test.
 - And the reason why they give them a
- 19 hearing and noise test is because anyone with high
- 20 frequency hearing loss will not be able to hear in a
- 21 noisy environment. And since police officers usually
- 22 deal with noisy environments and that's a safety
- 23 issue, I was very concerned over my safety, health and
- 24 welfare and any of the officers that would be relying

- Page 196
- A. That's correct.
- 2 Q. Did you want to come off of light duty and be
- 3 available to be assigned generally within the force?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. You did want to come off of light duty?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. But you don't believe that you would have been
- 8 capable of performing as a road trooper, right?
- 9 A. That's correct.
- 10 Q. You don't believe that you would have been
- 11 capable of performing in the firearms training unit,
- 12 right?
- 13 A. In the capacity as you stated as a firearms
- 14 trainer, no.
- 15 Q. Well, that's what you're doing is firearms
- 16 training though, isn't it?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. So what you wanted, I take it, is to be cleared
- 19 for full duty but not to be put in certain
- 20 assignments?
- 21 A. Yeah. Actually, I wanted to be accommodated as
- 22 a lot of other troopers are in the Delaware State
- 23 Police who have injuries.
- Q. But you didn't want to be available for certain

Page 195

1 on me.

18

- 2 Q. At the point that you saw Dr. Emmett the second
- 3 time, which I gather from Exhibit 6 -- I guess I might
- 4 as well have marked Exhibit 6 at this point.
- 5 (Defendant's Deposition Exhibit No. 6 was
- 6 marked for identification.)
- 7 BY MR. ELLIS:
- 8 Q. I take it that your second meeting with
- 9 Dr. Emmett was on March 18, 2005?
- 10 MR. NEUBERGER: This says 16th in the
- 11 first sentence. Do you see that?
- 12 MR. ELLIS: I'm sorry. You're
- 13 right.
- 14 BY MR. ELLIS:
- 15 Q. March 16th, 2005?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. That was the date of your second meeting?
- 18 A. Third meeting.
- 19 Q. Third meeting. Okay. Did you meet with
- 20 Dr. Emmett the second time you went up?
- 21 A. No, I did not.
- 22 Q. So you met with the audiologist?
- 23 A. That's correct. My mistake.
- 24 Q. You were on light duty at this point, right?

Page 197

- 1 functions?
- 2 A. I was concerned for my safety and the safety of
- 3 other troopers if I were put in situations that I knew
- 4 I could fail.
- 5 Q. Did you get a copy of Exhibit 6?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 Q. Who did you get it from?
- 8 A. Captain Yeomans.
- 9 Q. All right. Now, you said that you wanted to be
- 10 accommodated like a lot of other troopers had been
- 11 accommodated.
- 12 Can you give me the names of these other
- 13 troopers who have been accommodated?
- 14 A. Well, ones with hearing loss would be Major
- 15 Joseph Forrester and Lieutenant Charles Klim.
- 16 Q. Anybody else?
- 17 A. Well, there are several other officers who have
- 18 injuries, but those are the two that I know of with
- 19 hearing disabilities.
- 20 Q. Okay. Do you know of any other corporals that
- 21 were accommodated with hearing loss?
- 22 A. Corporals?
- 23 Q. Yes.
- 24 A. Not to my knowledge I don't.

v. C.A. # 04-956-GMS Page 11 of 33
Chaffinch, et al.
October 17, 2005

Page 200

Page 198

1 Q. Who was Lieutenant Klim? What was his job?

2 A. He was in charge of IA at one time or he worked

3 with internal affairs at one time.

Q. When did he retire?

5 A. I have no idea.

6 Q. Did he work past 1995?

7 A. I have no idea.

8 Q. Did you know the guy?

9 A. I know him, yes. I knew who he was and I knew

10 that he had hearing problems.

11 Q. How did you know that he had hearing problems?

12 A. Just through talk with the State Police.

13 Q. Did he ever tell you that he had hearing

14 problems?

15 A. No.

16 Q. Was he ever given light duty for a hearing

17 problem?

18 A. I have no idea.

19 Q. So it's basically somebody that you have heard

20 about that you heard had hearing problems?

21 A. That's correct.

22 Q. How long did you want the State Police to put

23 you in a job where you wouldn't be exposing yourself

24 or other people to danger?

1 Q. How do you know that?

2 A. He had an accident and his voice is cracking.

3 He's very hard to understand. One of the things that

4 we have to be able to do is communicate, the same job

5 function that I would have to be able to do using your

6 senses.

Q. Why do you think he was put there as an

8 accommodation?

9 A. Because he can't effectively communicate with

10 the public. He has problems speaking.

11 Q. I understand that you think he has problems

12 speaking. But did somebody tell you that he was put

13 there as an accommodation?

14 A. When it happened and when he came back to work

15 they said well, they're going to put him in the

16 evidence detection unit.

17 Q. But who told you that he was put there because

18 he had trouble communicating?

19 A. That was just the, just the talk of the

20 division.

21 Q. Oh.

22 A. From personal observation I know he can't speak

23 that well.

24 Q. Okay.

Page 199

A. I planned on working until I was 55.

Q. So you just expected the State Police to put

3 you in that kind of a job for as long as you wanted

4 to?

1

5 A. I just wanted the same treatment as other

6 troopers before me.

7 Q. Tell me another trooper who was allowed to work

8 to 55 who wasn't capable of performing the job of a

9 road trooper.

10 A. Major Joseph Forrester.

11 Q. Aside from that.

12 A. That's with the hearing issue. We have a

13 Sergeant Steve Swaine, who's been in evidence at Troop

14 4 and he's still on the job, currently on the job.

15 Q. What's Wayne's problem?

16 A. He has a voice problem.

17 And I think there were other people listed

18 in the interrogatories that we submitted or should

19 have been.

20 Q. What's Wayne's rank?

21 A. He's a sergeant.

22 Q. Do you believe he was placed there to

23 accommodate the fact that he has a voice problem?

24 A. I know he was.

Page 201

MR. ELLIS: Can I take a break for a

2 minute?

1

3 (A brief recess was taken.)

4 BY MR. ELLIS:

5 Q. Were you present at the range on the date when

6 there was a media tour?

7 A. No, I was not.

8 Q. Were you present at the range at any point when

9 Gloria Homer went through?

10 A. No, I was not.

11 Q. Were you present when Colonel Chaffinch went

12 through?

13 A. Yes, I was.

14 Q. And who was Colonel Chaffinch with the day he

15 went through?

16 A. Lieutenant Colonel MacLeish, Secretary Mitchell

17 and Governor Minner.

18 Q. When was that?

19 A. I'm bad with dates. 2004.

Q. Anybody other than those four people, Chaffinch

21 MacLeish, Mitchell and Minner?

22 A. Governor Minner, Secretary Mitchell, Colonel

23 Chaffinch, Lieutenant Colonel MacLeish, I believe

Major Eckrich and I believe Secretary Mitchell had

51 (Pages 198 to 201)

Page 204

Page 205

Price, et al. Wayne H. Warren, Volume 1

C.A. # 04-956-GMS

Chaffinch, et al. October 17, 2005

Page 202

- someone with him. He came there on two occasions, so
- I'm not -- I know on one occasion he had someone with
- him and I thought on the second occasion he had
- someone also.
- Q. Were you part of the tour? 5
- 6 A. Yes, I was.
- Q. What were you doing as part of the tour? 7
- A. I was explaining to them what had happened at 8
- 9
- Q. Did you take them all around the entire 10
- building? 11
- 12 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Did you take them to the area behind the bullet 13
- 14 trap?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Did you take them in the armorers room? 16
- 17 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. So every piece of the inside of the building? 18
- A. We walked around the entire building, that's 19
- 20 correct.
- Q. What did you tell them had happened? I mean, 21
- you said that you were there giving them an
- explanation of what had happened? 23
- A. Yes. 24

- training unit present?
- A. I don't believe so.
- Q. I'm sorry?
- A. I don't believe so, no.
- Q. Do you know who assigned you to do the tour?
- 6 A. I'm not sure if Major Eckrich called and asked
- me to be there. He asked me to be there on the Friday
- before because I think the tour that we're talking
- about was on a Monday. So Secretary Mitchell wanted
- to come in on the Friday before and view it without
- 11 anyone and I gave him the tour.
- 12 And then I believe it was a Monday that he
- 13 brought the Governor and then the colonels accompanied
- 14 her.
- 15 Q. So Mitchell went through by himself with you?
- 16 A. On the Friday with his -- he had a gentleman
- with him and I'm not sure exactly who that was. And 17
- 18 Major Eckrich was there also.
- 19 Q. So Eckrich, Mitchell and somebody with Mitchell
- 20 went through it on a Friday?
- 21 A. That's correct.
- 22 Q. And then the whole group went through on a
- 23 Monday?
- A. That's correct. I'm pretty sure that's how it

- O. What is it you said had happened? 1
- A. I explained to them that the ventilation system
- had failed. Also, the bullet trap had failed, the 3
- ventilation system had probably never worked properly.
- Q. Do you remember what reaction you got out of 5
- A. I think at one time when we were explaining how
- the ventilation system was failing Governor Minner 8
- made a comment about the beam, how that probably
- 10 caused problems with the airflow.
- Q. The beam? 11
- 12 A. The beam, the beam running down the center of
- 13 the building.
- Behind the bullet trap there were things 14
- 15 lying on the ground where somebody had been doing some
- 16 work and she just said well, someone didn't clean up
- after themselves. And when we were in classroom 17
- 18 number 1 she made that, she said it looks like there
- 19 was poor housekeeping. She made that comment.
- 20 Those are the only two things that really
- stick out. I mean, there were questions and answers. 21
- They would ask me a question. I would explain it to 22 them the best I could as to what I observed.
- Q. Was there anybody else from the firearms

- Page 203
- Q. Do you remember anything that Lieutenant
- Colonel MacLeish said during that tour? 3
- A. The only thing that I think Lieutenant Colonel
- MacLeish made comment about was the room that we were
- training simmunitions in. I think he explained that
- 7 training to the Governor. That's the only thing that
- sticks in my mind for whatever reason. 8
- Q. Do you remember anything that Colonel Chaffinch
- 10 said to you?
- A. I can't recall. 11
- Q. Do you remember anything else that Major 12
- 13 Eckrich said?
- 14 A. I can't recall anything else.
- Q. Has either Lieutenant Colonel or Colonel 15
- 16 MacLeish ever said anything to you to lead you to
- 17 believe that he was retaliating against you for
- anything that you did at the firearms training unit? 18
- 19 A. The one comment, as I stated earlier, he said,
- "I can't believe you guys didn't clean" was kind of 20
- 21 putting the blame on us for the situation. And I 22 really didn't understand where that comment came from,
- but it was placing blame on us for the situation.
- 24 Q. Okay. And, again, when did he make that

A - 174

v. C.A. # 04-956-GMS Chaffinch, et al. October 17, 2005

Page 208

Page 206

- 1 statement? That was at one of the meetings at either
- 2 the academy or the museum?
- 3 A. That's correct. It was one of the meetings,
- 4 one of the range meetings that we had.
- 5 Q. And was that after he had learned that you had
- 6 stopped doing the maintenance on the water system at
- 7 the back of the bullet trap?
- 8 A. Yes. And I don't know if it was particularly
- 9 the water system. I don't know how he -- he just
- 10 said, "I can't believe you guys didn't clean." He
- 11 never specifically said clean what.
- 12 Q. The officers in the firearms training unit were
- 13 never responsible for cleaning up the office area,
- 14 were they? Didn't you have a contractor come in and
- 15 do that?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. So you were never responsible for cleaning the
- 18 office area?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. Anything else that MacLeish said that would
- 21 lead you to believe that he was retaliating against
- 22 you
- 23 A. I don't know. I think the actions speak louder
- 24 than the words. He put us on light duty and sent a

happened to me that --

- 2 Q. I recognize that there are all these things
- 3 that happened to you, but my question is: Did Tom
- 4 MacLeish, colonel or lieutenant colonel, ever say
- 5 anything to you that led you to believe that he was
- 6 doing that for retaliatory reason as opposed to for a
- 7 legitimate reason?
- 8 A. He never communicated one way or another to me
- 9 in that respect.
- 10 Q. How about Aaron Chaffinch, did he ever
- 11 communicate with you about your going on light duty?
- 12 A. No.
- 13 Q. Did he ever say anything that you're aware of
- 14 that would lead you to believe that he had done
- 15 anything retaliatory to you?
- 16 A. I'm not sure of the question. Could you just
- 17 explain the question to me again, please?
 - Q. Let me ask it a little differently.
- 19 You've read a whole lot of newspaper
- 20 articles about the firearms training facility, haven't
- 21 you?

18

1

7

- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Do you think you have read everyone that's been
- 24 in The Delaware State News or The Wilmington News

Page 207

- 1 letter to us explaining that we were being placed on
- 2 light duty.
- 3 Q. Are you saying that the act of sending you the
- 4 letter was retaliatory?
- 5 A. I view it as that, that's correct.
- 6 Q. Why?
- 7 A. Well, he stripped us of all of our police
- 8 powers, the economic impact that that had on my family
- 9 and that was it. It was a letter. There was no
- 10 communication. He didn't call me in his office and
- 11 say, "Corporal Warren, I would like to discuss with
- 12 you your future and your situation with the State
- 13 Police."
- 14 It was simply a letter sent to me
- 15 explaining I was on light duty and that I couldn't
- 16 wear my uniform, I couldn't work any extra duty, I
- 17 couldn't drive a marked police car, I couldn't carry
- 18 my gun. I could still have my gun, but I couldn't use
- 19 it for police duties. To turn my head from any acts
- 20 of crime. Just that happened and shortly after that I
- 21 had to give up my assigned vehicle, which was a Dodge
- 22 Durango, couldn't do any type of SORT work whatsoever.
- 23 I'm sure there were other things listed in
- 24 interrogatories. I can't recall everything that

Page 209
Journal since the beginning of 2004?

- 2 A. Probably.
- 3 Q. Did Colonel Chaffinch ever mention you by name
- 4 in any quote that was ever attributed to him?
- 5 A. Not by name.
- 6 Q. Did Thomas MacLeish ever mention you by name?
 - A. Not to my knowledge.
- 8 Q. Has he ever been quoted saying anything about
- 9 you or the firearms training unit?
- 10 A. Not to my knowledge.
- 11 Q. Going back to your comments a little while ago
- 12 about being accommodated, is it your belief that the
- 13 Delaware State Police should find a job for you no
- 14 matter what your injury and no matter how long it
- 15 would take you to reach age 55?
- 16 A. Well, I think they have done that in the past.
- 17 They have set a precedent.
- 18 Q. You won't be 55 for, what, another 12 years?
- 19 A. Another eight.
 - Q. Eight?
- 21 A. I'm currently 47.
- 22 Q. And who have they carried -- you have already
- 23 been on light duty for well over a year, right?
- 24 A. That's correct.

53 (Pages 206 to 209)

c.A. # 04-956-GMS

Chaffinch, et al. October 17, 2005

Page 210

- Q. So who have they carried for nine years in a
- 2 position as somebody who couldn't function as an
- 3 active trooper?
- 4 A. Major Joseph Forrester is one.
- 5 Q. For nine years?
- 6 A. I don't know how long they carried him with a
- 7 hearing aid, but they carried him for quite some time,
- 8 until he was 55 and he retired.
- 9 Q. You don't know how long though?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. Do you know anybody who has been carried for
- 12 nine years?
- 13 A. Sergeant Steve Swaine is still working. I
- 14 don't know when his accident occurred, but he's been
- 15 working in the evidence unit ever since the accident
- 16 and he came back to work.
- 17 Q. But you don't know why he's in the evidence
- 18 unit other than what you have heard from rumors?
- 19 A. Yeah. Usually people aren't assigned to one
- 20 duty that long within the division. There has to be a
- 21 reason.
- 22 Q. Anybody else?
- 23 A. You would have to refer to my interrogatories
- 24 to look at other comparators. I can't think of all of

Page 212

- 1 Q. And that's Jean, J-e-a-n, right?
- 2 A. Yes.

5

7

15

1

- 3 Q. But it's a male?
- 4 A. That's correct.
 - Q. Did you inform your supervisor that you had
- 6 received the call?
 - A. I'm pretty sure I did.
- 8 Q. In order for you to talk to somebody outside of
- 9 the State Police on State Police business, you had to
- 10 have authority from the command structure, didn't you?
- 11 A. Well, we were cooperating with an
- 12 investigation.
- 13 Q. I understand that. But in order to do that,
- 14 you have to have permission, don't you?
 - A. In that event?
- 16 Q. Maybe you don't. I'm not trying to put words
- 17 in your mouth. I thought that you did.
- 18 A. And I can't recall who I even talked to about
- 19 that.
- 20 Q. Do you recall getting permission from anybody
- 21 to talk to the state auditor?

Q. Who was present?

- 22 A. No.
- 23 Q. Where did you meet with him?
- 24 A. At Mr. Neuberger's office.

Page 211

- 1 them right off the top of my head.
- 2 Q. As you're sitting here today, can you think of
- 3 anybody who's been unable to function full duty as a
- 4 trooper who has been carried for as long as nine
- 5 years?
- 6 A. I can't think off the top of my head.
- 7 Q. Could you envision if enough troopers had that
- 8 type of physical problem it being difficult to staff
- 9 certain functions of the State Police?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. How did you come to have a meeting with the
- 12 investigators from the state auditor's office?
- 13 A. They called and asked for an interview.
- 14 Q. Who did they call?
- 15 A. I talked to them on the phone.
- 16 O. So somebody from the auditor's office called
- 17 you directly?
- 18 A. Right.
- 19 Q. And who was it who called? Do you remember?
- 20 A. I think his name was Jean Roethlisberger. I'm
- 21 pretty sure. I talked to two different guys there and
- 22 I'm not sure what the other guy's name was, but I
- 23 think it was Jean who called called and said, "We want
- 24 to talk to you. When can we set up a meeting?"

- Page 213
- A. My attorney, Mr. Neuberger, and there were
- 3 three, I believe there were three investigators and
- 4 Roethlisberger, Roethensberger, whatever his last name
- 5 is. I don't want to butcher his name.
- 6 Q. I think Roethlisberger is the quarterback for
- 7 the Pittsburgh Steelers.
- 8 I think it's Rothenburger.
- 9 A. It's close. I don't think there's anyone in
- 10 the office with that name.
- 11 I believe there were two other
- 12 investigators also.
- 13 Q. Do you remember their names?
- 14 A. No, I do not.
- 15 Q. Were there any other officers from the FTU
- 16 present during your interview with the state auditor?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. How long before the meeting with the state
- 19 auditor was the appointment made?
- 20 A. I'm not sure.
- 21 Q. The meeting was on May 11th, right?
 - MR. NEUBERGER: I think it was April 20th,
- 23 wasn't it?
- 24 MR. ELLIS: Well, let's find out.

A - 176

C.A. # 04-956-GMS

Chaffinch, et al. October 17, 2005

Page 214

THE WITNESS: You're going to have to 1 2 refer to a document because I have no recollection of 3 the date.

MR. ELLIS: Mark this as Exhibit 7.

5 Exhibit 7 is a document that has at the

6 top of the first page My Chronology with the Firearms

7 Training Facility Corporal/3 Wayne Warren, May 11,

8 2004.

4

9 (Defendant's Deposition Exhibit No. 7 was

10 marked for identification.)

MR. NEUBERGER: I'm sorry. I misspoke. 11

12 April 20th is when the Governor ordered the

investigation. I was confused. I thought they met on 13

14 the 12th.

15 MR. ELLIS: I'm going to ask you to mark

16 Exhibit 8 also.

17 (Defendant's Deposition Exhibit No. 8 was

marked for identification.) 18

BY MR. ELLIS: 19

20 Q. When you get a chance, Corporal Warren, take a

21 look at 7 and 8.

22 A. All right. (Reviewing documents).

23 Are these the same?

24 MR. NEUBERGER: No. No, they're not the Page 216

A. I'm going to assume because the date says May 1

2 11th here on the document that that's when you met

3 with him.

4 If you didn't, whatever date you met with

5 him, did you give him this?

A. Yes, I did. 6

7 Q. Did you read it to him?

A. Yes, I did. 8

O. You read the entire document to him?

10 A. I'm not sure if I read the entire document or

11

9

12 Q. Look now at Exhibit D-8. D-8 is a different

13 document.

14 Did you also prepare this?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Did you have any help in preparing this?

17

18 Q. Did you show this to anybody before the meeting

19 that you had with the auditor's office?

20 A. Probably my attorney.

21 Q. Anybody else?

22 A. I'm not sure.

23 Q. Did you hand a copy of Exhibit D-8 to the

auditors when you met with them?

Page 215

1 same. These are different documents.

Q. D-7 should have My Chronology with the Firearms

3 Training Facility on the top and D-8 should have

Concerns about the Firearms Training Unit Facility on

5 the top.

Can you tell me what D-7 is? 6

A. This was prepared for the auditor's office.

It's my chronology of the firearms training facility. 8

Q. Did you prepare this before the meeting that 9

10 you had with the auditor's office?

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. How long before?

13 A. I'm unsure.

14 Q. Did you have help in preparing it?

15 A. No.

16 Q. After you had prepared it, did you show it to

17 anyone before you showed it to the auditors?

18 A. I'm pretty sure I showed it to my attorney.

19 Q. Did you show it to other members of the

20 firearms training unit?

A. I'm not sure. 21

22 Q. Did you present this to the auditor's on May

23 11th?

A. Yes, if that's when we spoke with the auditors.

Page 217 A. I'm not sure.

2 Q. Did you read it to them? A. I can't recall that.

4 Q. Did they ask you any questions at this first

5 meeting?

1

3

6 A. I can't recall if they questioned me or not.

I'm pretty sure that there were questions asked, but I

can't recall any substance of the questions.

O. During the course of the meeting with the

10 auditors did your lawyers say anything?

11 A. I'm not sure.

12 Q. You don't remember?

13 A. No.

Q. I think you testified that the other members of 14

15 the FTU were not present in the meeting you had with

the auditors, right? 16

17 A. That's correct.

18 Q. Did they meet with them separately on the same

19 date?

20

21 Q. Did you all go to Wilmington together?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. Where did you meet that morning?

A - 177

24 A. I'm not sure. 15 16 17

18 19 Captain Warren, I believe.

20 21

22 Do you have any recollection of that?

23 A. No. That could have happened.

24 Q. Were you present when the binders were handed 22 MR. NEUBERGER: Okay.

23 He goes away to sports events at times.

24 MR. ELLIS: Who does?

Page 219

1 over to the auditors?

2 A. I don't believe so.

3 Q. Do you know whether that had occurred before

4 you got there or whether it happened after you left?

5 A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

6 Q. Am I correct that your lawyer had a news

7 conference the day that you met with the auditors?

8 A. I believe so.

9 Q. Were you present for the news conference?

10 A. No.

Q. Where were you when the news conference 11

12 occurred?

13 A. I have no idea.

Q. Did you know that it was going to happen after 14

you were done talking to the auditors? 15

A. I'm not sure. 16

17 Q. I'm sorry. I asked that question badly.

18 Did you know that there was going to be a

19 news conference before it actually happened?

20 A. I'm not sure.

21 MR. NEUBERGER: Just for a sake of the

22 record, if you're saying I had a press conference, I

23 don't remember having a press conference. I could be

24 wrong.

Page 221 MR. NEUBERGER: He wasn't there the second

time. He took his daughter, went to a baseball game

with his daughter or something. That's what it is.

4 Okay.

1

5 BY MR. ELLIS:

6 Q. Why do you believe that either Colonel

Chaffinch or Colonel MacLeish or both are retaliating

against you for complaining about conditions at the

9 firing range in Smyrna?

10 A. Why do I think they did that? I don't

understand what you're asking me. 11

12 Q. I'm asking you why do you think that things

13 that have happened to you were done by them out of a

retaliatory motive? 14

15 In other words, what makes you think that

16 they are doing things to you because you made

17 complaints about the firearms training unit facility?

18 A. Well, I spoke out about a facility that was

19 doomed from the very inception. The government

problems with the bidding process it's already been

21 uncovered that there was a problem with the bidding.

22 Q. Let me just stop you right here. I understand

23 that there is a lot of information that suggests that

24 the bidding was screwed up, that the HVAC system

v. C.A. # 04-956-GMS

7

10

11

Chaffinch, et al. October 17, 2005

Page 224

Page 222

wasn't designed properly, that there's all kinds of

issues as to the compatibility of the bullet trap with

3 the ammunition being used. I recognize all of those

things are there and I don't really need for you to

5 recite that.

What I am trying to ask you is why you think that your being placed on light duty is a result

8 of your having complained about conditions at the

9 range?

6

7

19

20

1

10 A. Because all of those things that happened were

signs of corruption. We exposed the corruption. We

12 were causing problems with another government agency,

which was facilities management. Once we started,
 they didn't want us to continue. So in order to try

to keep us quiet and to hush this whole exposure, they

16 just started putting pressure on us and one of the

17 things or one of the ways to get rid of us is to put

18 us on light duty and then out the door.

And the minute they can get us out the door, then we're out of the hair of the State Police.

21 We're not going to cause any more problems. It took a

22 lot for us to come forward with all this information.

23 No one came forward before us to expose all the

24 wrongdoing with the different government agencies. We

1 public.

2 But because the public was informed -- and

3 I think Colonel MacLeish and both Colonel Chaffinch

4 testified that they didn't like the fact that it got

5 in the newspapers.

6 Q. Okay. Anything else?

A. That's all I can think of at this time.

8 Sorry about interrupting there, but that

9 thought came into my mind as to what was happening.

Q. Okay. That's okay.

Did the information that you presented to

12 the auditor identify any official at facilities

13 management as having been corrupt?

14 A. Well, I believe it identified, not that I

15 provided, but as a result of the investigation that

16 was conducted that Alrita Annett was going to award

17 the contract to build that building to a Delaware firm

regardless of who applied for it and I believe that was being looked into by the Attorney General's

20 Office. There's not been an outcome to my knowledge

21 at this time.

22 Q. Anybody else?

23 A. I'm not sure who would be responsible for

24 monitoring air quality of the building, but that was

Page 223

did that. Now we're giving their administration a

black eye because they can't control their employees.
 So, therefore, they have got to come out
 and get rid of us. They send us for a hearing test.

5 That's an easy fix because there are no standards for

them to go by to determine whether or not we have a
 hearing problem. So they can just tell the doctors

 $8\,$ that hey, are they capable of working? Well, what

standards did the doctors have to follow? No. They
 have high frequency hearing loss and we will get them

out the door. The financial impact of that move is

enormous. It was over \$22,000 a year in overtime for me. Take the car. Let's play games with them. Let's

14 transfer them around, take them out of that building,

15 give them this to do, give them that job to do,

16 meaningless jobs.

17 Q. Did --

18 A. Excuse me. I'm sorry. I just had this other

19 thought come into my mind.

20 And I believe Colonel Chaffinch testified 21 in his deposition that he didn't want to cause

problems with another government agency. He didn't

Want that to happen. Well, it happened. It is what

want that to happen. Well, it happened. It is what
 it is. We are public safety. Let's take care of the

Page 225
1 not being done. And whoever would be in charge of EPA

2 compliance, I guess that person would be subject to

3 questioning also.

4 Q. Did you accuse anybody in the State Police of

5 corruption?

6 A. No.

7 Q. When you say that being put on light duty had a

8 financial impact on you, is it because you missed

9 overtime?

10 A. That's correct.

11 Q. Anything else?

12 A. Well, overtime is special duty jobs or anything

13 else available to troopers who want to make extra

14 money.

15 Q. Now, when you talk about special duty jobs,

16 what are you talking about?

17 A. In my area one of the jobs I would work would

18 be transports of mental patients. When a hospital

19 commits a mental patient to one of the three northern

20 facilities, there has to be two off-duty officers to

21 transport the mental patient to that facility. And I

22 was on the on-call list for those special duty jobs,

23 which was a four-hour pay job.

24 Q. How does the mental patient transport work?

v. C.A. # 04-956-GMS Chaffinch, et al. October 17, 2005

Page 226

1 Are you in a police vehicle?

- 2 A. You're in a marked police vehicle with two
- 3 officers and you're transporting a mental patient from
- 4 the hospital, usually in Sussex County, that's to
- 5 either Rockford, the State Hospital or MeadowWood or
- 6 you could take them to there's a place in Dover also.
- 7 Q. Are you wearing a uniform --
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. -- when you do that?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Q. What's the purpose behind having a state
- 12 trooper transport the mental patient?
- 13 A. It's just the policy of the state and I think
- 14 it was a decision reached by the Attorney General's
- 15 Office that the State Police would do the transport.
- 16 Q. Is the issue that the patient could become
- 17 violent?
- 18 A. That could happen, but it's more or less
- 19 transportation for the patient from the committing
- 20 hospital to one of the facilities that can receive
- 21 him.
- 22 Q. Is there somebody from the hospital in the
- 23 vehicle while the transport is occurring?
- 24 A. No.

Page 228

- 1 Durango?
- 2 A. That's correct.
- 3 Q. What type of problems come up at the NASCAR
- 4 races? Drunks?
- 5 A. Drunks.
- 6 Q. Mostly drunks?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. Do you have to arrest people?
- 9 A. I never had to arrest anyone.
- 10 Q. Do state troopers occasionally have to arrest
- 11 people at the Dover Downs Speedway?
- 12 A. I think it's minimal.
- 13 Q. It happens though?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Now, you said there was SORT team overtime?
- 16 A. That's correct.
- 17 Q. What type of work is that?
- 18 A. High-risk search warrants, surveillance.
- 19 Q. The regular duty of the SORT team?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- 21 Q. And you also mentioned FTU overtime.
- 22 A. That's correct.
- 23 Q. What type of work is that?
- 24 A. Working with recruits, training patrol

Page 227

- 1 Q. So it's two state troopers and one mental
- 2 patient?
- 3 A. That's correct.
- 4 Q. You're not a taxicab service, right?
- 5 A. Yes, that's what we are.
- 6 Q. Well, are you armed when you make this
- 7 transport?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. What other special duty jobs were you able to
- 10 do before that you can't do now?
- 11 A. The NASCAR races in Dover and all the special
- 12 operations overtime, along with the firearms training
- 13 overtime.
- 14 Q. What did you do in terms of NASCAR races? Is
- 15 this basically providing security for the races?
- 16 A. Yeah. Just physical presence, riding around
- 17 the campground areas is what I was assigned to do.
- 18 Q. In a marked car?
- 19 A. No
- 20 Q. Riding around in what then?
- 21 A. In a Dodge Durango.
- 22 Q. Are you in uniform?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. So you're in a uniform in your government

Page 229 procedures. That was overtime. We did our normal,

- 2 our tour of duty at the firearms training unit as a
- 3 firearms instructor and then we had overtime training
- 4 patrol procedures, instructing the training for the
- 5 patrol procedures. Sorry.
- 6 O. Is that done at the academy?
- 7 A. No. That was done at the range.
- 8 Q. Now, since you were put on light duty -- which
- 9 is what? June 2004?
- 10 A. That's correct.
- 11 Q. You were assigned to the academy, right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. What assignments were you given?
- 14 A. At the firearms training unit?
- 15 Q. Well, you were still part of the firearms
- 16 training unit when you were put on light duty, were
- 17 you not?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. And for most of that first year you were
- 20 undergoing periodic testing to determine whether your
- 21 hearing loss would prevent you from performing the
- 22 duties of a trooper,/weren't you?
- 23 A. That's correct.
- 24 Q. And then at roughly the end of the first year

A - 180

58 (Pages 226 to 229)

C.A. # 04-956-GMS

Chaffinch, et al. October 17, 2005

Page 233

you were told that you could not perform the duties of Page 230 2 a trooper, right? 3 A. That's correct. Q. So during that year that you were on light duty before you had been told that you couldn't be returned 5 to full duty, what assignments were you given? 6

A. Just administrative duties, assisting with

equipment, investigating different types of equipment, assisting with administrative duties for the firearms 9

training unit. So if they needed to contact a vendor 10

or to look into something, we did that. 11 12

Q. Now, during that period roughly June 2004 to 13

May 2005, the firearms training unit, the rest of the firearms training unit was not in Dover, was it? 14

15 A. No.

16 Q. It was up at Troop 2?

17 A. That's correct.

Q. And so you and Corporal Price were in Dover 18

whereas the rest of the unit was up in Troop 2? 19

A. Right. I'm sorry. Also when the range was 20 21

being cleaned, I had to respond to the range also and 22

be present while the cleaning crew was working in the 23

1

Q. Why were you present for that? What was the 24

A. No. No. The only thing I remember was that Page 232

being mentioned and I thought I've worked on wires

before and you have to pay close attention to

conversation on the phone. There's probably going to be background noise and that's something that I'm not

good at. That would be kind of foolish to put me in a

serious situation as a Title 3, especially when it

involves minimization, that what if I miss something

and I didn't minimize properly or I violated the law 10

because of my hearing problem? 11

To me, that really didn't make sense. 12

Then I just dismissed it and it never came up again. 13

So the brief conversation, I can remember that briefly but I don't remember anything past that because 14

15 nothing ever came of it.

Q. Who did you have the conversation with? 16

17 A. I can't recall.

Q. Did you express to whoever that person was the 18

concern you had that you've just described here about 19

whether you could perform properly in a wire room 20

because of your hearing problem? 21

22 A. I believe I did. 23

Q. But you don't remember who you said it to?

24 A. No.

purpose of that?

A. Just as a liaison from the State Police to make 2 sure that --

Q. Who assigned you to that job? 5

A. I want to say Major Hughes. 6

Q. Was there a point when somebody within the management of the Delaware State Police suggested that 7

you and Corporal Price be put on a Title 3 8

9 Surveillance?

10 A. I'm not sure.

Q. Do you remember being asked to go to a wire 11 12

room?

A. I remember talk about that, but I mean I don't 13 14

know what came of it. I mean, I just remember talk of 15

them discussing whether or not we were going to do 16

17 Q. Who was the "them"?

A. I can't recall who it was. 18

Q. Do you recall any discussion of that with 19

20 Sergeant Foraker?

21 A. No.

Q. Do you recall any discussion of it yourself 22 23

with anybody? You seem to remember the events. But

do you remember who you talked to about it?

Q. There are things that go on in a wire room that

don't involve sitting with the headphones on, aren't

3 there?

Page 231

A. That's correct.

5 And what functions would those be?

A. Transcribing tapes, monitoring phone calls as

far as -- well, I haven't done one that's been

computerized. Mine was with index cards and there was 9

a lot of manual labor involved. 10

Q. There's a lot of paperwork, right? 11

Right: Now I understand it's pretty

computerized and it takes half the manpower that it 13

used to, other than the surveillance teams. 14

Q. But there are functions in the wire room where 15

you would not need to use the headphones at all, 16 right?

A. I'm not sure with today's operations with the 17

investigations. I can't answer that because I know 19

it's entirely different than what I used to do. 20

What I used to do I would say yes because we had cards to file and to analyze every day and we had to give a written report. But I understand now that the computer does all the analyzation, tells the

amount of calls coming in, the amount of calls going 24

A - 181

59 (Pages 230 to 233)

2

3

5

6

C.A. # 04-956-GMS

Chaffinch, et al. October 17, 2005

Page 236

Page 234

out, what the average time was on line. It prints out the number of who the person is. They can do actual searches to find out who the number is registered to. It's entirely different than the way we used to do it.

My understanding is it's more labor intensive on the surveillance than it is the wire room

Q. So if it was the type of wire you used to sit

7 on, there would be plenty for you to do that didn't 8 9 involve headphones, right?

A. There would be a fair amount of work, not 10 11 plenty.

Q. Whoever it was that you had this discussion. 12 with about being used in a Title 3, did you suggest to 13

them that you would be able to do that kind of work?

16

Q. Would you have wanted to work on a Title 3? 17

A. I used to love Title 3's. 18

Q. So why didn't you try to make a place for

yourself in the Title 3 that was being discussed? 19 20

A. Because of the seriousness of the investigation

and I think it would be kind of stupid for somebody 21

with a hearing disability to be involved in something 22

where you listen to conversation that occurs quickly. 24

A. That's correct. Q. At some point, assuming that you're not allowed

to do that, do you intend to file for a disability

pension?

7

A. It depends on if I'm forced out and if I can't 5

work, what are they going to tell me?

Q. I'm sorry. I don't understand you.

A. It depends on the division. Are they --

Q. Assuming that at some point you are told that 8

you can no longer continue as a state trooper because 9

you're unable to perform the essential functions of 10

the job, is it your intention to file for a disability 12

pension? 13

MR. NEUBERGER: For the sake of the record, I think that's asking, that's asking for 14

15

what's going to happen in the future after he gets the

advice of his lawyers at whatever point in time. I

don't think he can answer that question honestly to 17 18

19

2

A. That's actually what I was going to tell you.

I would have to consult with my attorney and see the 20

options and what would be available with the 22

information the state would provide me.

Q. What do you mean by the information that the 23

Page 235

And in that situation --

1 Q. I'm really not disputing that. 2

A. I don't think that that would have been a good

thing for me to do for the State Police or myself.

Q. Why is that?

5 A. Because of my disability. 6

Q. But how about if you were put on functions that

were not, that were not, that did not involve

listening to the conversations on the wire? 9

A. In my experience we always shortcut everything so sooner or later I would have been the one to listen 10

to a phone conversation and I want no parts of that. 12

That's the way we do business. It happens all the $^{\circ}$ 13

time. We always operate shorthanded. 14

MR. NEUBERGER: Do you think we will 15

finish at 5:00? 16

MR. ELLIS: What time is it?

MR. NEUBERGER: Ten of 5:00. 17

18 MR. ELLIS: Off the record.

19 (Discussion off the record.) 20

BY MR. ELLIS: 21

Q. You testified that it was your intention of

working to age 55 with the State Police. Is that 22

correct? 24

state would provide you?

A. I have received the letter stating that I was

on light duty. I received a letter telling me that I

had to separate from the division on June 10th. I 3 4

received another letter from the state telling me I 5

was going to have to separate from the division on August 10th. And then I received a letter that those 6

letters have been rescinded until further notice. And 7

that's about the extent of the communication that I

have with the State Police or our human resource

10

Q. If you were to get a disability retirement, 11 12

what percentage of your pay would that give you? 13

A. That's determined by the Pension Board. Q. Whether you get it is determined by the Pension 14

15

Board, isn't it? 16

A. No. The percentage is determined also. That's 17 my understanding. 18

Q. Are you not guaranteed three-quarters of your 19

pay? 20

A. I'm not guaranteed that, no, I'm not, sir. 21

Q. What is it that the state has to determine in

order to decide how much of your pay you're going to 22 23

get? 24

A - 182

60 (Pages 234 to 237)

Page 237

v. C.A. # 04-956-GMS Chaffinch, et al. October 17, 2005

Page 238 Page 240 A. I'm not really sure. I have not had anyone other things and then who -- because we have been 2 explain it. I don't know if anyone can explain that. bashed the true story has not been told about this Q. Okay. It says in your interrogatory answers 3 situation. There's been one side of the story told at that troopers who served at the FTU have a track this point. So with what happened to us even people 5 record of obtaining lucrative post-DSP employment. in the division really don't know what's going on in 6 A. That's correct. this situation and with my reputation. 6 7 Q. What troopers would you be referring to there? Q. Well, what is your reputation? A. A retired Captain Paul Cunningham went to work 8 8 A. I really don't know at this point. It was for Smith & Wesson and I think he eventually became 9 sterling before this happened. 10 the president of Smith & Wesson. 10 Q. Well, what's to say it's not sterling now? 11 Dave Lawson started an indoor range in the A. Well, I have been placed on light duty. I have 11 12 Dover area. And he was training, he's got contracts been blamed in the newspaper that we let a \$3 million 12 with several municipalities in qualifying or I should 13 building go down the drain. It was on WBOC TV, a say recertifying municipal police officers. I think 14 local station in the area in which I live. he also has the DNREC contract and maybe a couple of 15 So perception is really everything and 16 other state agencies. only one side of the story has been told. 16 17 Let's see. I think Lieutenant Bill Bryson 17 Q. Was your name on WBOC? is currently the chief of Camden, but he was a 18 A. No. consultant, a range consultant with JAED Construction 19 19 Q. Is there anybody else that has left the 20 also. 20 firearms training unit for a lucrative post-DSP job? 21 Q. That's the company that fouled up the range, 21 A. Those are all that I can think of at the 22 isn't it? 22 present time. 23 A. That's correct. 23 Q. Does retired Lieutenant Lawson's indoor range 24 MR. NEUBERGER: Off the record. have ventilation problems?

Page 239

(Discussion off the record.)

BY MR. ELLIS:

3 Q. Bryson is a police chief now, right?

4 A. That's correct.

5 Q. When did Cunningham leave the police force?

6 A. Unsure.

7 Q. He was a captain?

8 A. Yes.

1

2

9 Q. What rank was Lawson?

10 A. Lieutenant.

11 Q. Is there any reason you can't open up your own

12 indoor range?

13 A. Is there any reason?

14 Q. Yes. Why couldn't you start up your own indoor

15 range when you leave the State Police?

16 A. I'm a little gun-shy about the indoor ranges

17 right now.

18 Q. Well, aside from that?

19 A. Would there be any reason why I couldn't?

20 Q. Yes, other than the fact that you got a hearing

21 problem.

22 Is that what you meant when you said that

23 you were gun-shy of indoor ranges?

A. No. There's ventilation problems and a host of

Page 241

A. Not to my knowledge.

2 Q. Have you ever been there?

3 A. Yes.

1

4 Q. Would I be correct in saying that there are

5 certain jobs that you would not be able to perform

6 after you leave the State Police because of your

7 hearing problem?

8 A. Correct.

9 Q. Have you talked to anybody outside of the State

1.0 Police about a job when you leave the State Police?

11 A. I've talked to several people just inquiring

12 about what's available.

13 Q. Well, who have you talked to?

14 A. I talked to a local roofing contractor about

15 being, he talked to me about being an appraiser for

16 his business.

17 Q. Do you have any background as a roofing

18 appraiser?

19 A. No. None.

20 Q. Is it easy to pick up?

21 A. I guess it is.

22 Q. Did this roofing contractor offer you a job?

A. He just told me if I retire or whatever happens

24 to me with the State Police to look him up.

A - 183

61 (Pages 238 to 241)

1

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

24

building.

C.A. # 04-956-GMS

Chaffinch, et al. October 17, 2005

Page 242 Page 244 Q. Any other person you have talked to outside of neighbors? 2 the State Police about potential employment? 2 A. Different contacts in the community. A. How long ago of a time frame are you talking 3 Q. Give me an example. about now? A. I can't give you a name right off the top of my Q. I'm talking about for about the last year and a 5 head, but since this has broken I mean it's a subject half since the problems have occurred at the range. 6 of conversation. A. No. Not that I can recall. You know, my next-door neighbor, we talked Q. Have you talked to Smith & Wesson about a job? 8 about it the other day: "How are you doing? Are they A. No. 9 still blaming you for the building?" Q. Does Cunningham still work for Smith & Wesson? 10 Q. Did you ever tell him that somebody was blaming A. No. 11 you for problems in the building? Q. Have you talked to Cunningham about how he got 12 A. Did I ever tell him? 13 Q. Yes. into the industry? A. I've talked to him, but I didn't really I guess 14 A. No. He saw it in the newspaper. And a lot of ask him how he broke into the industry. people make jokes about it. One person just said, you Q. What did you talk to him about? know, "Can you hear me? They're really putting you 17 A. Firearms, training, construction of the

Q. It says in your interrogatory answers troopers 19 and others, and this refers to the situation with the 20 range and the media coverage, troopers and others in 21 the law enforcement and firearms communities are 22 23 talking.

Who is talking? What troopers are talking

guys down about the fall of the firearms training 18 unit." 19 Q. He says, "Can you hear me"? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Joking about your hearing loss?

22 A. Right. 23 Q. Has your hearing loss been in the newspaper? 24 A. Yes.

Page 243 about you? 1 2 A. I'm not familiar what you're asking me. 3 Q. I'm not going to mark this. MR. NEUBERGER: Do you know what number 4 5 that is just so I have it? 6 MR. ELLIS: It's page 12 and it is interrogatory 3 and the way you have answered it it's 7 8 sub(c). 9 MR. NEUBERGER: Okay. Why don't you just 10 show it to him? BY MR. ELLIS: 11 12 Q. That's the subsection there (indicating). A. (Reviewing document) Okay. 13 Q. What are you referring to in that sentence that 14 15 I just read to you? A. People in the community making comments about 16 17 following the firearms training unit in the media and 18 that, you know, we were getting blamed for the destruction of that building. 19 Q. Who blamed you for the destruction of the 20

Q. Who is it that has talked to you and said that

you were being blamed for that? I mean, your

Page 245 1 Q. How did your hearing loss get in the newspaper? 2 A. Our wives spoke out in the newspaper. 3 Q. So your wives put it in the newspaper? 4 A. That's correct. 5 Q. I'm sorry. Can you slide that back? 6 MR. ELLIS: What time is it, Tom? 7 MR. NEUBERGER: It's about eight after. 8 MR. ELLIS: I'll tell you what. Let me 9 knock off. I've got like maybe another 10, 15, 20 10 minutes ago. Why don't we start it first thing in the 11 morning? 12 MR. NEUBERGER: That's fine. Just finish 13 up in the morning. That's fine. 14 MR. ELLIS: Off the record. 15 (Discussion off the record.) 16 (Deposition recessed at 5:10 p.m. until 17 Tuesday, October 18, 2005 at 9:30 a.m.) 18 19 20 21 22

A - 184

A. It was in the media.

21

22

23

building?

23

v. C.A. # 04-956-GMS Chaffinch, et al. October 17, 2005

	Page 246	1	
1	INDEX	١.	Page 248
2		1	State of Delaware)
3	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	١.)
4	Examination by Mr. Ellis 2	2	New Castle County)
5	EXHIBITS	3	
6	DEFENDANT'S DEPOSITION EXHIBITS MARKED	4	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
О	1 E-mail to Thomas F. MacLeish from	5	
7	Christopher Foraker dated	1	I, Kurt A. Fetzer, Registered Diplomate
7	January 5, 2004 140	6	Reporter and Notary Public, do hereby certify that
8	2 Multipage document captioned "Delaware	1	there came before me on Monday, October 17, 2005, the
_	State Police Firearms Training Range	7	deponent herein, WAYNE H. WARREN, who was duly sworn
9	Current Range Status And Analysis"		by me and thereafter examined by counsel for the
10	dated 01/30/04 173	8	respective parties; that the questions asked of said
10	2.84 (4)	•	deponent and the answers given were taken down by me
	3 Multipage document captioned "Delaware	9	in Stenotype notes and thereafter transcribed by use
11	State Police Firearms Training Facility		of computer-aided transcription and computer printer
	Responsibility Status Analysis dated	10	under my direction.
12	01/30/04 179	11	I further certify that the foregoing is a true
13	4 Seven-page letter to Captain John A.		and correct transcript of the testimony given at said
	Yeomans, M.D. from Edward A. Emmett,	12	examination of said witness.
14	M.D. dated January 21, 2005 187	13	I further certify that I am not counsel,
15	5 Letter to Captain John A. Yeomans		attorney, or relative of either party, or otherwise
	from Edward A. Emmett, M.D. dated	14	interested in the event of this suit.
16	February 24, 2005 189	15	
17	6 Letter to Captain John A. Yeomans	16	
	from Edward A. Emmett, M.D. dated	17	Kurt A. Fetzer, RDR, CRR
18	March 18, 2005 195		Certification No. 100-RPR
19	7 Multipage document captioned "My Chronology	18	(Expires January 31, 2008)
	with the Firearms Training Facility Cpl/3	19	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
20	Wayne Warren May 11, 2004" 214		DATED:
21		20	
	about the Firearms Training Unit Facility	21	
22	Prepared By Cpl/3 Wayne Warren" 214	22	
	ERRATA SHEET/DEPONENT'S SIGNATURE PAGE 247	23	
24	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER PAGE 248	24	
	Page 247		
1			

```
2
 3
              REPLACE THIS PAGE
 4
              WITH THE ERRATA SHEET
 5
              AFTER IT HAS BEEN
 6
              COMPLETED AND SIGNED
 7
              BY THE DEPONENT.
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```



In the Matter Of:

WILCOX & FETZER LTD.

Price, et al. v. Chaffinch, et al.

C.A. # 04-956-GMS

Transcript of:

Wayne H. Warren

Volume # 2 October 18, 2005

> Wilcox & Fetzer, Ltd. Phone: 302-655-0477

Fax: 302-655-0497

Email: Ihertzog@wilfet.com Internet: www.wilfet.com

Price, et al. Wayne H. Warren, Volume 2 C.A. # 04-956-GMS

Chaffinch, et al. October 18, 2005

Page 249

VOLUME 2

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE B. KURT PRICE, et al. Plaintiffs, v.) C.A. No. 04-956-GMS L. AARON CHAFFINCH, et al.,) Defendants. CHRISTOPHER FORAKER, Plaintiff, v.) C.A. No. 04-1207-GMS L. AARON CHAFFINCH, et al.,) Defendants.

Continued deposition of WAYNE H. WARREN taken pursuant to notice at the law offices of Montgomery McCracken Walker & Rhoads, LLP, 300 Delaware Avenue, Suite 750, Wilmington, Delaware, beginning at 9:25 a.m., on Tuesday, October 18, 2005, before Kimberly A. Hurley, Registered Merit Reporter and Notary Public.

APPEARANCES:

THOMAS S. NEUBERGER, ESQUIRE THE NEUBERGER FIRM, P.A. 2 East 7th Street - Suite 302 Wilmington, Delaware 19801 for the Plaintiffs

WILCOX & FETZER 1330 King Street - Wilmington, Delaware 19801 (302) 655-0477

C.A. # 04-956-GMS

Chaffinch, et al. October 18, 2005

Page 250 Page 252 APPEARANCES (cont'd): Yes. It looks like a report. 1 **EDWARD T. ELLIS, ESQUIRE** 2 2 It's clearly a report on your medical ROBERT J. FITZGERALD, ESQUIRE 3 condition. MONTGOMERY McCRACKEN WALKER & RHOADS, LLP 3 A. That's correct. 123 South Broad Street Do you know who it's from? 4 Avenue of the Arts Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19109 6 A. Says Dr. Emmett. So I assume it's from 5 for the Defendants 7 Dr. Emmett. ALSO PRESENT: 8 Q. But that's just an assumption? CHRISTOPHER D. FORAKER 7 9 That's correct. **B. KURT PRICE** 10 MR. ELLIS: I ask you to take a look at 8 Exhibit 10. 11 9 12 (Defendants' Deposition Exhibit No. 10 was 10 13 marked for identification.) 11 14 BY MR. ELLIS: 12 Q. This is a three-page document that's got on the 13 15 top of the front page "FTU Transition meeting 14 15 17 12/01/2003." 16 18 Have you seen that document before? 17 19 A. Yes. 18 20 Where did you first see that document? Q. 19 A. In one of the depositions. 20 21 21 Is that one of the depositions in this case 22 22 23 that you were attending? 23 A. That's correct. 24 24 Page 253 Page 251 But you were not the deponent? WAYNE H. WARREN, 1 Ο. 1 2 having been previously sworn as a witness, 2 was resumed on examination and testified 3 Had you ever seen it prior to that? Q. 3 4 further as follows: Was Ralph Davis the deponent on the day that MR. ELLIS: I'm going to ask the court 5 6 you first saw this document? reporter to mark this as No. 9. 6 7 (Defendants' Deposition Exhibit No. 9 was 7 I don't have any more questions about that. marked for identification.) 8 8 q Yesterday you testified about an 9 BY MR. FILTS: investigation that you participated in on the background O. We received this in the medical records that 10 and history of the firing range in Smyrna. Can you tell you produced. Can you tell me what this is? me who else was involved in the investigation? A. That I produced? 12 A. Corporal Price and Sergeant Foraker. Q. 13 13 Yes. Did you and Corporal Price and Sergeant Foraker MR. NEUBERGER: It came from us. It was 14 14 divide up the work that you were going to do? 15 in our records. Yes. Different people did different sections 16 A. BY MR. ELLIS: 16 17 of it. Q. Just by way of identification for the record, 17 D-9 is a three-page document that has Bates stamps 18 Was Corporal Warwick involved? 18 A - 190 FTU4676 through FTU4678, and it's got "Wayne H. Warren" 19 Yes, I believe he was. 19 Can you describe for me your assignment, so to 20 20 on the top of the front page. Have you ever seen that before? 21 speak, as part of the investigation? 21 A. There wasn't any specific assignment. We were 22 22 A. No, I haven't. just digging to find out what was wrong with the 23 Q. Then that's fine. I don't need to ask you -building, why were we experiencing these problems, and do you have any idea what it is?

v. C.A. # 04-956-GMS Chaffinch, et al. October 18, 2005

	Circ. III	,	30-GM3	U	ctober 18, 2005	
	Page 25	4			Page 256	
	how we got to this point, how we got to the point where	1	L A.	Yes, we did.	. 090 200	
	did breakdown because	2	2 Q.	Do you remember who was in t	the car with you?	
3		3	3 A.	No, I don't.	•	
4	t and the first and the first and the first and	4	4 Q. Do you remember if it was one person or more			
5	, and an extended of the investigation so that, for	5	5 than one person?			
6	p -,	6	A.	No, I can't remember.		
7	The state of the s	7	7 MR. ELLIS: I don't have any more			
8	t and type of daily.	8				
9		9	9 MR. NEUBERGER: I don't have any			
10	e many mas your paracular tole:	10) questic	ons, but we will want to review ar		
11	paradonal role tras:	11			o.g., a.e	
12	V 1-24	12	· ·	(Deposition concluded at 9:3	5 a m \	
13	and the most intermetion about the	13	}		J G.III.)	
14	different aspects of the building as I could. I didn't	14	ļ.			
15	have a specific assignment. We divied up the jobs, and	15				
16	what we thought would help in determining what happened					
17		17				
18	assignments as to who was to do what.	18				
19	Q. You didn't divide the work by, for example,	19				
20		20				
21	did one of you look at health issues and another one look	21				
22	at the specs for the HVAC system, another person look at	22				
23	some other aspect?	23				
24	A. Different people looked at different aspects of	24				
1	Page 255 the building, that's correct.				Page 257	
2	Q. Do you remember what parts you had?	1		TESTIMONY		
3	A. No.	3	DEDONIE	AIT. MANAGEM MANAGEM		
4	Q. Do you remember what parts Sergeant Foraker	4	DEPONE	NT: WAYNE H. WARREN	PAGE	
5	had?	5	RY MR	ELLIS 251		
6	A. No.	6	51 1110.1	231		
7	Q. How about what parts Corporal Price had?	7		EXHIBITS		
8	A. No	8				
9	Q. How about what parts Corporal Warwick had?	9	DEFEND	ANTS' DEPOSITION EXHIBIT NO.	MARKED	
10	Part Serporal Waltwar Haus	10			·······································	
11	The state of the s	11	9 - A thr	ee-page document headed "Wayne	e	
12	he contacted NIOSH, the National Institute of			en" 251		
13	Occupational Safety and Health, and he was looking into	12				
14	hearing protection. That's the only thing that stands			ree-page document entitled, "FTU		
	out in my mind at this point.	13	Transitio	n meeting 12/01/2003"	252	
15	Q. Does anything stand out in your mind for what	14	500 ·-·			
16	Price or Foraker did?	15	ERRATA	SHEET/DEPONENT'S SIGNATURE	PAGE 258	
17	A. Not really.	16	CENTTER	CATE OF BEDGE		
18	Q. When you had your meeting with the Auditor's	17 18	CERTIFIC	CATE OF REPORTER	PAGE 259	
19	Office on May 11th or May 12th, 2004, was	18 19				
20	Corporal Warwick with you?	20				
21	A. I can't recall if he was there or not.	21				
22	Q. I think you said you drove up to Wilmington	22				
23	with other people in the car with you after you met	23			A - 191	
24	somewhere.	24				
	'			2 (2		

v. C.A. # 04-956-GMS Chaffinch, et al. October 18, 2005

Page 258

REPLACE THIS PAGE

WITH THE ERRATA SHEET

AFTER IT HAS BEEN

COMPLETED AND SIGNED

BY THE DEPONENT

Page 259

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

STATE OF DELAWARE)

NEW CASTLÉ COUNTY)

I, Kimberly A. Hurley, Registered
Professional Reporter and Notary Public, do hereby
certify that there came before me on the 18th day of
October, 2005, the deponent herein, WAYNE H. WARREN, who
was duly sworn by me and thereafter examined by counsel
for the respective parties; that the questions asked of
said deponent and the answers given were taken down by me
in Stenotype notes and thereafter transcribed by use of
computer-aided transcription and computer printer under
my direction.

I further certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the testimony given at said examination of said witness.

I further certify that I am not counsel, attorney, or relative of either party, or otherwise interested in the event of this suit.

Kimberly A. Hurley Certification No. 126-RPR (Expires January 31, 2008)

DATED:

Filed 02/02/2006



In the Matter Of:

Price, et al. Chaffinch, et al.

C.A. # 04-956-GMS

Transcript of:

Christopher D. Foraker Volume # 1

December 13, 2005

Wilcox & Fetzer, Ltd. Phone: 302-655-0477 Fax: 302-655-0497 Email: Ihertzog@wilfet.com

Internet: www.wilfet.com

Price, et al. Christopher D. Foraker, Volume 1 C.A. # 04-956-GMS

Chaffinch, et al. December 13, 2005

Page 1

VOLUME 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

CORPORAL B. KURT PRICE, et al.,)) Plaintiffs,) Civil Action v.) No. 04-956-GMS COLONEL L. AARON CHAFFINCH, et al.,) Defendants. _______ SERGEANT CHRISTOPHER D. FORAKER, Plaintiff,) Civil Action v .) No. 04-1207-GMS COLONEL L. AARON CHAFFINCH, et al.,) Defendants.)

Deposition of CHRISTOPHER D. FORAKER taken pursuant to notice at the law offices of Montgomery, McCracken, Walker & Rhoads, LLP, 300 Delaware Avenue, 7th Floor, Wilmington, Delaware, beginning at 9:35 a.m., on Tuesday, December 13, 2005, before Kurt A. Fetzer, Registered Diplomate Reporter and Notary Public.

APPEARANCES:

THOMAS S. NEUBERGER, ESQ. THE NEUBERGER FIRM, P.A. 2 East Seventh Street - Suite 302 Wilmington, Delaware 19801 For the Plaintiffs

A - 194

WILCOX & FETZER 1330 King Street - Wilmington, Delaware 19801 (302) 655-0477

Price, et al. Christopher D. Foraker, Volume 1

C.A. # 04-956-GMS

Chaffinch, et al. December 13, 2005

Page 2 APPEARANCES: (Cont'd) 1 2 EDWARD T. ELLIS, ESQ. 2 A. Yes, sir. MONTGOMERY McCRACKEN WALKER & RHOADS, LLP 3 3 123 South Broad Street 4 question. Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19109 5 The first thing I'm going to show you For the Defendants 6 ALSO PRESENT: 5 **B. KURT PRICE WAYNE WARREN** 6 9 7 - - - -8 CHRISTOPHER D. FORAKER, 10 9 the deponent herein, having first been 10 duly sworn on oath, was examined and Q. When you first saw this document, would I be testified as follows: 11 **EXAMINATION** 12 14 but it was actually in the newspaper? BY MR. ELLIS: 13 15 A. That's correct. Q. Sergeant Foraker, my name is Ed Ellis. I'm 14 16 15 sure you know that by now. 16 of this newspaper article? 17 Q. The purpose of our being here today is for me 18 A. Yes, I do. to take your deposition in connection with the claims 18 19 you've made against Aaron Chaffinch and Thomas 19 20 paragraph that you believe is defamatory. MacLeish and the Delaware State Police. 20 21 Have you been deposed before? 21 A. Yes, sir, I have. 22 23 Q. How many times? 24 area." A. Referring to this particular case or my 24

Page 3

previous case or my career?

2 Q. Your career.

A. I've probably been deposed fifteen times maybe. 3

Q. Fifteen times all in civil cases? 4

5 A. No, sir.

Q. Some would have been in criminal cases? 6

7 A. Some are criminal cases.

8 Q. How many times have you been deposed in a civil

9 case?

1

10 A. I believe three times. This would be the

fourth time, I believe. 11

Q. During the course of your career with the State 12

13 Police have you testified in court?

A. Yes, sir. 14

15 Q. How many times?

A. (Pause). 16

17 Approximately.

18 A. Probably fifty, sixty times, at least.

19 Q. Were they all in criminal cases?

A. Yes, sir. 20

21 Q. Well, the purpose of the proceeding, as you

know, is for me to ask you questions about your case 22

and for you to give me answers. So if you don't

understand the question, I'm sure you know by now to

Page 4

speak up and tell me you don't understand it.

Q. If you do understand it, just please answer the

today is a document that has previously been marked in

another deposition as an exhibit and it's been marked

as Exhibit MacLeish 5 in Thomas MacLeish's deposition.

Are you familiar with that document?

A. Yes. I believe this is a news article written

by Tom Eldred of the Delaware State News.

correct that it didn't appear in its current format

Q. Do you believe that you are defamed by any part

Q. I want you to go down and point out to me each

A. (Reviewing document) For one, there's I guess

the third article down or third paragraph down, it

says, "Flammable materials are stored in the shooting

Page 5

1 Q. Right.

2

15

17

A. That's not true. Flammable materials were not

stored in the shooting area.

O. Okay.

5 A. I think the seventh paragraph down, "Mrs. Homer

said Facilities Management only provides 'custodial'

services and is not otherwise responsible." That's an

8 incorrect statement.

9 Q. Okay.

A. Followed by "Colonel Chaffinch acknowledged 10

problems but indicated the blame lies only with one or

two troopers under his command."

13 Q. Okay. Keep going.

A. The statement "'We are not responsible for the 14

equipment or cleanup of the range,' Mrs. Homer said as

16 she began the tour."

Facilities management is responsible for

18 that building. It is their building.

19 Q. As we go through this, Sergeant Foraker, please

remember that the question I asked you is whether the 20

statements in here were defamatory towards you and if

you believe that that statement is defamatory towards

you, that's fine. But I'm not asking you just to

identify mistakes in the article or where people made 24